

Properties of ground state pseudo scalar and vector $c\bar{b}$ states in a relativistic quark model

Antony Prakash Monteiro^{a,*}, Manjunath Bhat^a, K. B. Vijaya Kumar^b

^a*P. G. Department of Physics, St Philomena college Darbe, Puttur 574 202, India*

^b*Department of Physics, Mangalore University, Mangalagangothri P.O., Mangalore - 574199, INDIA*

Abstract

The phenomenological relativistic quark model (RQM) has been employed to obtain the masses of ground state pseudo scalar and vector $c\bar{b}$ states. In the frame work of RQM a study of M1 radiative decays of $c\bar{b}$ has been made. The Hamiltonian used in the investigation has confinement potential and confined one gluon exchange potential (COGEP). An overall agreement is obtained with the experimental masses and decay widths. Calculated values are compared with other theoretical models.

Keywords: relativistic quark model (RQM); radiative decay; confined one gluon exchange potential (COGEP); B_c meson states

1. INTRODUCTION

The B_c meson is a double heavy quark-antiquark bound state and carries flavours explicitly, which provides a good platform for a systematic study of heavy quark dynamics. B_c mesons are predicted by the quark model to be members of the $J^P = 0^-$ pseudo scalar ground state multiplet [1]. The first successful observation of B_c meson was made by CDF collaboration in 1998 from run I at TEVATRON through the semileptonic decay channel $B_c \rightarrow J/\Psi + l^+ + \bar{\nu}_l$ [2]. They measured the mass of B_c to be $m_{B_c} = 6.40 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.13$ GeV and the life time $\tau_{B_c} = 0.46_{-0.16}^{+0.18} \pm 0.03$ ps. The more precise measurement of mass of B_c i.e., $m_{B_c} = 6275.6 \pm 2.9(\text{stat}) \pm 5(\text{syst})$ MeV/c² was done by the CDF collaboration through the exclusive non-leptonic decay $B_c \rightarrow J/\Psi \pi^+$ [3, 4, 5]. The results of the CDF collaboration was confirmed by the observations made by the D0 collaboration [6, 7] at TEVATRON. The LHCb has reported several new observations on B_c decays recently. More experimental data on B_c meson are expected to come in near future from LHCb and TEVATRON.

15

A suitable theoretical model is required to explain the properties such as mass spectrum, decays, reaction mechanism and bound state behaviour of mesons which involve heavy quarks. The properties of the light and heavy mesons were studied using the

*Corresponding author

Email address: aprakashmonteiro@gmail.com (Antony Prakash Monteiro)

Preprint submitted to Journal of L^AT_EX Templates

January 26, 2016

phenomenological models. A De Rujula *et al* [8] proposed first QCD based model for the study of hadron spectroscopy. The model had a reasonable success and predicted the masses of charmed mesons and baryons. Several non relativistic phenomenological potentials with radial dependencies for the confinement along with one gluon exchange potential (OGE) were examined by Bhaduri *et al* [9]. In these models the relativistic effects were completely ignored.

There have been many calculations of baryon properties using relativistic models, like MIT bag models [10, 11], cloudy bag models [12, 13], chiral bag models [14, 15] etc. Relativistic calculations, where constituent quarks are confined in a potential, have also been performed [16, 17, 18]. There are other bag models in literature too. In Budapest bag model the volume energy term is replaced by a surface energy term [19]. Another model which effectively contains a surface tension term is the 'SLAC' bag, developed by Bardeen *et al* [20] which begins from a local field theory in which heavy quarks interact through a neutral scalar field. Ferreria *et al* [21, 22] used relativistic quark model to study several properties of low lying hadrons. In this model both, the linear and quadratic confinement schemes were used. Bander *et al* [23] used a relativistic bound state formalism to make simultaneous study of all meson systems. Isgur *et al* [24, 25] in their relativized quark model used a parametrized potential and incorporated relativistic kinematics to describe all mesons in the same frame work.

In NRQM formalism though the mass spectra of ground state $c\bar{b}$ meson has been produced successfully, the radiative decay rates, particularly hindered $M1$ decay rates are significantly influenced by relativistic effects. Therefore, it is necessary to include these effects for the correct description of the decays. Radiative decays are the most sensitive to relativistic effects. Hindered radiative decays are forbidden in the non relativistic limit due to the orthogonality of initial and final meson wave functions. They have decay rates of the same order as the allowed ones. In the relativistic description of mesons an important role is played by the confining quark-antiquark interaction, particularly its Lorentz structure. Thus comparison of theoretical predictions with experimental data can provide valuable information on the form of the confining potential. Hence we use relativistic quark model formalism to study the properties of $c\bar{b}$ meson states.

The paper is organized in 4 sections. In sec. 2 we briefly review the theoretical background for relativistic model and the relativistic description of radiative decay widths. In sec. 3 we discuss the results and the conclusions are drawn in sec. 4 with a comparison to other models.

2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

2.1. The Relativistic Harmonic Model

We investigate properties of $c\bar{b}$ states using confined one gluon exchange potential in the frame work of relativistic harmonic model (RHM) [16]. The Hamiltonian used has the confinement potential and a two body confined one gluon exchange potential (COGEP) [26, 27, 28, 29].

In RHM, quarks in a hadron are confined through action of a Lorentz scalar plus a vector harmonic oscillator potential [30, 31]

$$V_{CONF}(r) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma_0) A^2 r^2 + M \quad (1)$$

where γ_0 is the Dirac matrix, M is a constant mass and α^2 is the confinement strength.

65

In RHM, the confined single quark wave function is given by

$$\psi = N \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \frac{\sigma \cdot \mathbf{p}}{E+M} \phi \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

with normalization constant

$$N = \sqrt{\frac{2(E+M)}{3E+M}} \quad (3)$$

E is the eigenvalue of the single particle Dirac equation with interaction potential given by equation (1). We can perform a unitary transformation to eliminate the lower component of ψ such that

$$U\psi = \phi \quad (4)$$

where U is given by

$$U = \frac{1}{N \left[1 + \frac{p^2}{(E+M)^2} \right]} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\sigma \cdot \mathbf{p}}{E+M} \\ -\frac{\sigma \cdot \mathbf{p}}{E+M} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

Here U is a momentum and eigenvalue E dependent transformation operator. With this transformation, ϕ satisfies the 'harmonic oscillator' wave equation

$$\left(\frac{p^2}{E+M} + \alpha^2 r^2 \right) \phi = (E-M)\phi \quad (6)$$

the eigenvalue of which is given by

$$E_N^2 = M^2 + (2N+1)\Omega_N \quad (7)$$

where Ω_N is the energy dependent oscillator size parameter given by

$$\Omega_N = \alpha(E_N + M)^{1/2} \quad (8)$$

The total energy or the mass of the meson is obtained by adding the individual contributions of the quarks. The spurious centre of mass (CM) is corrected [32] by using intrinsic operators for the $\sum_i r_i^2$ and $\sum_i \nabla_i^2$ terms appearing in the Hamiltonian. This amounts to just subtracting the CM motion zero contribution from the E^2 expression.

70 2.2. Confined One Gluon Exchange Potential

NRQM employing OGEP, which takes into consideration the confining effect of quarks on mesonic states, does not shed light on confinement of gluons. Hence one needs to incorporate confining effects of gluons on mesonic states since confined dynamics of gluons

75 plays a decisive role in determining the hadron spectrum and in hadron-hadron interaction. There are various confinement models for the gluons. The effect of the confined gluons on the masses of mesons has been studied using the successful current confinement model (CCM). The confined gluon propagators (CGP) are derived in CCM to obtain the COGEP.

The COGEP is obtained from the scattering amplitude [26, 27, 28, 29]

$$\mathcal{M}_{fi} = \frac{g_s^2}{4\pi} \bar{\psi}'_1 \gamma^\mu \psi_1 D_{\mu\nu}^{ab}(q) \bar{\psi}'_2 \gamma^\nu \psi_2 \quad (9)$$

where $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma_0$, $\psi_{1,2}$ are the wave functions of the quarks in RHM. The $D_{00}(q)$ and $D_{ik}(q)$ are the zero energy CCM gluon propagators in momentum representation, where $q = P'_1 - P_1 = P_2 - P'_2$ is the four momentum transfer. $g_s^2/4\pi = \alpha_s$ is the quark gluon coupling constant. In CCM, propagators in the momentum representation are given by,

$$D_{00}(q) = 4\pi D_0(q) \quad (10)$$

The $D_{ik}(q)$ are given by,

$$D_{ik}(q) = -4\pi \left\{ \delta_{ik} - \frac{a_{qi}^\dagger a_{qk}}{a_q \cdot a_k^\dagger} \right\} D_1(q) \quad (11)$$

where a_q and $a_q^\dagger$ are the creation and destruction operators in the momentum space. The scattering amplitude (9) is written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{fi} = & \frac{g_s^2}{4\pi} (\psi_1'^\dagger \psi_1 \psi_2'^\dagger \psi_2) D_{00}(q) + \\ & (\psi_1'^\dagger \alpha_i \psi_1) (\psi_2'^\dagger \alpha_k \psi_2) D_{ik}(q) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

80 We express the 4-component RHM wave function ψ in terms of 2-component wave function ϕ by a similarity transformation.
i.e.

$$\psi_1'^\dagger \psi_1 = \psi_1'^\dagger U_1^\dagger (U_1')^{-1} U_1^{-1} U_1 \psi_1 \quad (13)$$

$$= \phi_1'^\dagger (U_1')^{-1} U_1^{-1} \phi_1 \quad (14)$$

where N and U are given by (3) and (5) respectively.

The above expression can be simplified to

$$\psi_1'^\dagger \psi_1 = N^2 \phi_1'^\dagger \left\{ 1 + \left[\frac{P_1^2 + q \cdot P_1 + i\sigma_1 \cdot (q \times P_1)}{(E + M)^2} \right] \right\} \phi_1 \quad (15)$$

We have,

$$\psi_2'^\dagger \psi_2 = \phi_2'^\dagger (U_2')^{-1} U_2^{-1} U_2 \phi_2 \quad (16)$$

i.e.

$$\psi_2'^\dagger \psi_2 = N^2 \phi_2'^\dagger \left\{ 1 + \left[\frac{P_2^2 + q \cdot P_2 + i\sigma_2 \cdot (q \times P_2)}{(E + M)^2} \right] \right\} \phi_2 \quad (17)$$

Similarly we can write,

$$\psi_1'^{\dagger} \alpha_i \psi_1 = \frac{N^2}{(E+M)} \left[\phi_1'^{\dagger} [2P_1 + q + i(\sigma_1 \times q)] \phi_1 \right]_i \quad (18)$$

$$\psi_2'^{\dagger} \alpha_k \psi_2 = \frac{N^2}{(E+M)} \left[\phi_2'^{\dagger} [2P_2 - q - (i\sigma_2 \times q)] \phi_2 \right]_k \quad (19)$$

Substituting (15), (17), (18) and (19) in (12), the scattering amplitude now expressed in terms of the two component spinor ϕ and the momentum dependent operator U can be written as,

$$\mathcal{M}_{fi} = 4\pi\alpha_s N^4 \phi_1^{\dagger} \phi_2^{\dagger} [U[P_1, P_2, q]] \phi_1 \phi_2 \quad (20)$$

The function $U(P_1, P_2, q)$ is the particle interaction operator in the momentum representation and by taking the Fourier transform of each term in the scattering amplitude we get the potential operator $U(\hat{P}_1, \hat{P}_2, r)$ in the co-ordinate space. We drop all the momentum dependent terms in $U(\hat{P}_1, \hat{P}_2, r)$ to obtain the scattering amplitude which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{fi} = 4\pi\alpha_s N^4 & \left[1 + \frac{1}{(E+M)^2} \left[\sigma_1 \cdot (\nabla \times \hat{P}_1) - \sigma_2 \cdot (\nabla \times \hat{P}_2) \right] \right] D_0(r) + 4\pi\alpha_s N^4 \\ & \times \left[\frac{1}{(E+M)^2} \left[2\sigma_2 \cdot (\nabla \times \hat{P}_1) - 2\sigma_1 \cdot (\nabla \times \hat{P}_2) - \nabla^2 [1 - \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2] - (\sigma_1 \cdot \nabla)(\sigma_2 \cdot \nabla) \right] D_1(r) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The terms which contribute to the central part of COGEP are,

$$D_0(r), \nabla^2 [\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 - 1] D_1(r) \text{ and } (\sigma_1 \cdot \nabla)(\sigma_2 \cdot \nabla) D_1(r) \quad (22)$$

In CCM the propagator $D_1(r)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$(-\nabla^2 + c^4 r^2) D_1(r) = 4\pi\delta^3(r) \quad (23)$$

The term $(\sigma_1 \cdot \nabla)(\sigma_2 \cdot \nabla) D_1(r)$, has angular dependence. But the tensor operator is constructed in such a way that the average value of tensor operator over the angular variables vanishes. The averaging over the direction of r gives

$$(\sigma_1 \cdot \nabla)(\sigma_2 \cdot \nabla) D_1(r) = (1/3) \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 [\nabla^2 D_1(r)] \quad (24)$$

Substituting for $[\nabla^2 D_1(r)]$, the central part of the COGEP becomes

$$V_{COGEP}^{cent}(\vec{r}) = \frac{\alpha_s N^4}{4} \lambda_i \cdot \lambda_j \left[D_0(\vec{r}) + \frac{1}{(E+M)^2} [4\pi\delta^3(\vec{r}) - c^4 r^2 D_1(\vec{r})] \left[1 - \frac{2}{3} \vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j \right] \right] \quad (25)$$

where $D_0(r)$ and $D_1(r)$ are the propagators given by

$$D_0(r) = \frac{\Gamma_{1/2}}{4\pi^{3/2}} c(cr)^{-3/2} W_{1/2; -1/4}(c^2 r^2) \quad (26)$$

$$D_1(r) = \frac{\Gamma_{1/2}}{4\pi^{3/2}} c(cr)^{-3/2} W_{0; -1/4}(c^2 r^2) \quad (27)$$

⁸⁵ where $\Gamma_{1/2} = \sqrt{\pi}$, W 's are Whittaker functions and $c(\text{fm}^{-1})$ is a constant parameter which gives the range of propagation of gluons and is fitted in the CCM to obtain the glue-ball spectra and r is the distance from the confinement center.

Table 1: M_c and M_b for various theoretical models.

Parameter	Ref.[38]	Ref. [39]	Ref. [40]	Ref. [41]	Ref.[42]
M_c	1.8 GeV	1.48 GeV	1.48 GeV	1.48 GeV	1.55 GeV
M_b	5.174 GeV	5.18 GeV	4.88 GeV	4.88 GeV	4.88 GeV

2.3. Radiative Decays

Magnetic dipole (M1) transitions which go with the spin flip of the quark ($\Delta S = 1$, $\Delta L = 0$) and thus the initial and final states belong to the same orbital excitation but have different spins. Examples of such transitions are vector to pseudo scalar ($n^3S_1 \rightarrow n'^1S_0 + \gamma$, $n \geq n'$) and pseudo scalar to vector ($n^1S_0 \rightarrow n'^3S_1 + \gamma$, $n > n'$) meson decays. The magnetic dipole amplitudes between S -wave states are independent of the potential model. The M1 partial decay width between S wave states is [33, 34, 35, 36, 37],

$$\Gamma_{M1}(i \rightarrow f + \gamma) = \frac{16\alpha}{3} \mu^2 k^3 (2J_f + 1) |\langle f | j_0(kr/2) | i \rangle|^2 \quad (28)$$

where k is the energy of the emitted photon in the rest frame of the n^3S_1 state,

90

$$k = \frac{m_a^2 - m_b^2}{2m_a} \text{ in relativistic model.}$$

$\langle e_Q \rangle$ is the mean charge which is given by,

$$\langle e_Q \rangle = \frac{m_b Q_c - m_c Q_{\bar{b}}}{m_b + m_c} \quad (29)$$

α is the fine structure constant. $Q_c = 2/3$ is the charge of the c quark and $Q_{\bar{b}} = 1/3$ is the charge of the $\bar{b}$ quark in units of $|e|$. $\langle f | j_0(kr/2) | i \rangle = \int_0^\infty dr R_{n_f L_f}(r) r^2 j_0(kr/2) R_{n_i L_i}(r)$ is the overlap integral for unit operator between the coordinate wave functions of the initial and the final meson states, m_c and m_b are the mass of the quarks, $j_0(kr/2)$ is the spherical Bessel function and the magnetic dipole moment is

$$\mu = \frac{m_b Q_c - m_c Q_{\bar{b}}}{4m_c m_b} \quad (30)$$

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Mass Spectra

There are five parameters in our model. These are the mass of charm quark M_c , the mass of beauty quark M_b , the CCM parameter c , the harmonic oscillator size parameter b and the quark-gluon coupling constant α_s . In literature we find different sets of values for the M_c and M_b parameters, which are listed in Table 1.

The values of strong coupling constant α_s in literature are listed in Table 2.

We use the following set of parameter values.

$$\begin{aligned} M_c &= 1.47 \text{ GeV}; & M_b &= 4.714 \text{ GeV}; \\ c &= 1.74 \text{ fm}^{-1}; & b &= 0.285 \text{ fm}; & \alpha_s &= 0.3; \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

These parameters are fixed by solving the Schroedinger equation numerically using matrix Numerov method [43, 44]. In this method we transform time independent Schroedinger equation into a representation of the matrix form on a discrete lattice depending on the grid number d and matrix size N . We represent wave function ψ by a column vector $(\cdots \psi_{i-1}, \psi_i, \psi_{i+1} \cdots)$ where i runs from 1 to N . The transformed Schroedinger equation is given by [45]

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} B^{-1} A \psi_i + V(r) \Psi_i = E_i \psi_i$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{d^2} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B = \frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & 10 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 1 & 10 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 10 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 10 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

and $V(r)$ is the potential energy matrix.

In our calculations the Harmonic oscillator wave function used is of the form,

$$\Psi_{nlm}(r, \theta, \phi) = N \left(\frac{r}{b}\right)^l L_n^{l+\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{r^2}{b^2}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{b^2}\right) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \quad (32)$$

where N is the normalising constant given by

$$|N|^2 = \frac{2n!}{b^3 \pi^{1/2}} \frac{2^{[2(n+l)+1]}}{(2n+2l+1)!} (n+l)! \quad (33)$$

100 and $L_n^{l+\frac{1}{2}}$ are the associated Laguerre polynomials. In the calculations, the product of the quark-anti quark oscillator wave functions are expressed in terms of oscillator wave functions corresponding to the relative and centre-of-mass coordinates.

105 The mass and lifetime of B_c meson have been measured by CDF [3, 4] and DO [6, 7] in decays $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi \pi$ and $B_c \rightarrow J/\psi l$. CDF collaboration measured the mass to be 6.4 ± 0.4 GeV. The majority of the B_c mesons produced at the Tevatron are produced indirectly via the decay of excited $c\bar{b}$ mesons. The PDG mass of B_c meson is 6.277 ± 0.006 GeV [46, 47]. The mass of the B_c is very close to the arithmetic mean of the masses of the J/Ψ ($c\bar{c}$, 3096.916 ± 0.011 MeV) and the Υ ($b\bar{b}$, 9460.30 ± 0.26 MeV), 110 which yields about 6278.608 MeV. Heavy quark effective potentials predict the mass to be between 6.2 and 6.3 GeV. The mass of the B_c has also been calculated using lattice gauge theory to be 6.4 ± 0.1 , where the uncertainty is dominated by the error from the omission of dynamical quarks [48]. The first excited state is the spin-1 state B_c^* , which

Table 2: α_s for various theoretical models.

Parameter	Ref. [24]	Ref. [42]	Ref. [49]	Ref. [1]	Ref. [50]
α_s	0.21	0.265	0.357	0.361	0.391

is predicted to be heavier by 78 ± 13 MeV. 1^3S_1 $c\bar{b}$ level, with a total width of 135 eV, is far more stable than its counterparts in the $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ systems, whose total widths are 68 ± 10 keV and 52.1 ± 2.1 keV, respectively. The most promising is the first radial excitation $B_c(2S)$, whose mass is predicted to be higher than that of the B_c by about 600 MeV.

To start with, we construct the 5×5 Hamiltonian matrix for both pseudo-scalar and vector mesons in the harmonic oscillator basis. The value of strong coupling constant used in our calculation $\alpha_s = 0.3$ is compatible with the perturbative treatment. The calculated masses of the pseudo-scalar and vector radially excited meson states after diagonalization are listed in tables 3 and 4 respectively. Our calculated mass value for pseudo scalar $B_c(1S)$ meson is 6277.94 MeV and for vector $B_c^*(1S)$ meson is 6336.35 MeV. $B_c^*(1S)$ is heavier than $B_c(1S)$ by 58.140 MeV. This difference is justified by calculating the $^3S_1 - ^1S_0$ splitting of the ground state which is given by

$$M(^3S_1) - M(^1S_0) = \frac{32\pi\alpha_s|\psi(0)|^2}{9m_cm_b} \quad (34)$$

The first radial excitation $B_c(2S)$ is heavier than $B_c(1S)$ by 698.049 MeV. The difference between the $B_c^*(2S)$ and $B_c^*(1S)$ masses turns out to be 654.426 MeV. The calculated ground state radially excited mass spectrum is as shown below (fig. 1).


Figure 1: Calculated mass spectrum for the $c\bar{b}$ mesons.

Table 5 gives the values of the mass for $B_c(1S)$ state for various bases, by constructing

1 to 5th order matrices which justifies the perturbative effects in calculating the mass of pseudo scalar and vector mesons.

125 The graphs (fig. 2 and fig. 3) give this variation of the mass with different n values for $B_c(1S)$ and $B_c^*(1S)$ mesons. For higher n values the variation of mass is almost negligible. It is clear from the graph the difference between the masses of successive values of n_{max} , decreases and is almost negligible beyond $n_{max} = 5$




Figure 2: Ground state masses (in MeV) of $B_c(1S)$ for different n values.




Figure 3: Ground state masses (in MeV) of $B_c^*(1S)$ for different n values.

130 Tables 6 and 7 give the values of α_s for different n_{max} in calculating pseudo scalar B_c and vector B_c^* meson masses. Here for a given set of parameters, different values of α_s are set for the calculated value of B_c and B_c^* meson masses, which we get for a value of $n_{max} = 5$. It is to be noted that we need to enhance the values of α_s when diagonalization is carried out in smaller configuration space.

Table 3: Masses of pseudo-scalar B_c meson states (in MeV).

Meson states $^1S_0$	Calculated masses
1S	6277.94
2S	6975.99
3S	7592.28
4S	8476.37
5S	8598.56




Figure 4: Variation of α_s value of $B_c(1S)$ meson for different n values.




Figure 5: Variation of α_s value of $B_c^*(1S)$ meson for different n values.

Figures 4 and 5 indicate the variation of α_s with n_{max} values, which indicate the effect of running coupling constant α_s on the masses of pseudo scalar B_c meson and vector B_c^* meson.

3.2. Radiative Decays

The calculation of radiative (EM) transitions between the meson states can be performed from first principles in lattice QCD, but these calculation techniques are still in their development stage. At present, the potential model approaches provide the detailed predictions that can be compared to experimental results. In our relativistic model we consider the Magnetic dipole (M1) transitions of B_c meson:

Table 4: Masses of vector B_c^* meson states (in MeV).

Meson states $^3S_1$	Calculated masses
1S	6336.35
2S	6990.78
3S	7601.67
4S	8485.72
5S	8604.30

The decay processes of the B_c meson can be broadly divided into two classes: involving the decay of b quark, and that of c quark, besides the annihilation of b and c. All decay chains ultimately reach the $^1S_0$ ground state B_c which decay weakly. Preliminary estimates of the widths of some decay channels of B_c have been made to show that the bound state effects may be significant in B_c decays. The M1 transitions contribute little to the total widths of the 2S levels. Because it cannot decay by annihilation. Allowed M1 transitions correspond to triplet-singlet transitions between S-wave states of the same n quantum number, while hindered M1 transitions are either triplet-singlet or singlet-triplet transitions between S-wave states of different n quantum numbers.

The possible radiative M1 transition modes are as follows, (i) $2^3S_1 \rightarrow 2^1S_0 + \gamma$, (ii) $2^3S_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0 + \gamma$, (iii) $2^1S_0 \rightarrow 1^3S_1 + \gamma$, (iv) $1^3S_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0 + \gamma$. In the above (ii) and (iii) represent hindered transitions and (i) and (iv) represent allowed transitions. In order to calculate decay rates of hindered transitions we need to include relativistic corrections. There are three main types of corrections: relativistic modification of the non relativistic wave functions, relativistic modification of the electromagnetic transition operator, and finite-size corrections. In addition to these there are additional corrections arising from the quark anomalous magnetic moment. Corrections to the wave function that give contributions to the transition amplitude are of two categories: 1) higher order potential corrections, which are distinguished as a) Zero recoil effect and b) recoil effects of the final state meson and 2) Colour octet effects. The colour octet effects are not included in potential model formulation and have not considered so far in radiative transitions.

The spherical Bessel function $j_0(kr/2)$ introduced in equation (28) takes into account the so called finite-size effect (equivalently, re summing multipole-expanded magnetic amplitude to all orders). For small k , $j_0(kr/2) \rightarrow 1$, so that transitions with $n' = n$ have favoured matrix elements, though the corresponding partial decay widths are suppressed by smaller k^3 factors. For large value of photon energy (k) transitions with $n \neq n'$ have favoured the matrix element, since $j_0(kr/2)$ becomes very small. M1 transition rates are very sensitive to hyperfine splitting of the levels due to the k^3 factor in equation (28).

There have been many models which study the radiative decays of B_c meson using non relativistic and relativistic quark models. Eichten and Quigg [1] calculated the radiative M1 transition rates for the allowed and hindered transitions. They used the equation (28) in their potential model approach to determine the M1 transition rates of B_c meson. Allowed transition rates for processes (i) and (iv) were found to be 0.0289 keV and 0.135 keV respectively. Hindered transition rates for the processes (ii) and (iii) were 0.093 keV and 0.123 keV respectively. Abd El-Hady *et al* [49] have investigated

Table 5: Masses of 1S vector B_c^* and pseudo-scalar B_c meson states (in MeV) for various n values.

n values	B_c^* mass	B_c mass
1	6365.59	6319.82
2	6340.82	6285.89
3	6336.84	6279.25
4	6336.41	6278.21
5	6336.35	6277.94

Table 6: The value of α_s for different n_{max} in calculating pseudo scalar B_c meson mass.

n_{max}	α_s
1	0.342
2	0.307
3	0.3015
4	0.3005
5	0.3

the radiative decay properties of B_c meson in a Bethe-Salpeter model. The allowed transition rates for processes (i) and (iii) were found to be 0.0037 keV and 0.0189 keV respectively. The hindered transition rates for the processes (ii) and (iv) were found to be 0.135 keV and 0.1638 respectively. Ebert *et al* [42] have studied these M1 transitions including full relativistic corrections in their relativistic model. They depend explicitly on the Lorentz structure of the non relativistic potential. Several sources of uncertainty make M1 transitions particularly difficult to calculate. The leading-order results depend explicitly on the constituent quark masses, and corrections depend on the Lorentz structure of the potential. They estimated the allowed transition rates to be 0.033 keV and 0.017 keV respectively. For the hindered transition, decay rates were found to be 0.428 keV and 0.488 keV. Also it is clear from their calculations that the predicted decay rates for hindered transitions which are increased by relativistic effects almost by a factor of 3 and they are larger than the rates of allowed M1 transitions by an order of magnitude.

We have calculated the M1 transition rates for $c\bar{b}$ meson states using equation (28). The resulting M1 radiative transition rates of these states are presented in table 9. In this table we give calculated values for decay rates of M1 radiative transition in comparison with the other relativistic and non relativistic quark models. We see from these results that the relativistic effects play a very important role in determining the B_c meson M1 transition rates. The relativistic effects reduce the decay rates of allowed transitions and increase the rates of hindered transitions. The allowed transition rates calculated in our model agrees well with the calculation made by Abd El-Hady *et al* [49] and Eichten *et al* [1] and hindered transition decay rates reasonably agree with the values predicted by Ebert *et al* [42].

4. Conclusions

We have calculated the mass spectra of ground state $c\bar{b}$ meson in a relativistic quark model by diagonalizing 5×5 matrix. The graphs (fig. 2 and fig. 3) depicting the variation

Table 7: **The value of α_s for different n_{max} in calculating B_c^* meson mass.**

n_{max}	α_s
1	0.334
2	0.3045
3	0.3005
4	0.3001
5	0.3

Table 8: Comparison of B_c and B_c^* meson masses for various potentials (GeV).

Potential models	B_c Mass	B_c^* Mass	Form of the potential
Powerlaw [38]	6.248	6.319	$A + Br^\alpha$
SVKT [50]	6.253	6.317	
Cornell [39]	6.254	6.343	$-\frac{k}{r} + \frac{r}{a^2}$
QCD [40]	6.264	6.337	
Logarithmic [41]	6.266	6.334	$\ln(\frac{r}{r_0})$
PDG[47]	6.277 $\pm$ 0.006	-	
DRV[42]	6.270	6.332	
AJJ[49]	6.380	6.416	
Our model	6.277	6.336	

Table 9: **Radiative M1 decay widths of B_c meson states.**

Transition	$k_0(MeV)$	$\Gamma(keV)$ Ref.[49]	$\Gamma(keV)$ Ref.[42]	$\Gamma(keV)$ Ref.[51]	$\Gamma(keV)$ Ref.[50]	$\Gamma(keV)$ Ref.[42]	$\Gamma(keV)$ Ref.[1]	$\Gamma(keV)$ Our model
$1^3S_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0\gamma$	58.140	0.0189	0.033	0.059	0.060	0.073	0.135	0.0699
$2^3S_1 \rightarrow 2^1S_0\gamma$	14.77	0.0037	0.017	0.012	0.010	0.030	0.029	0.0011
$2^3S_1 \rightarrow 1^1S_0\gamma$	676.496	0.1357	0.428	0.122	0.098	0.141	0.123	0.379
$2^3S_1 \rightarrow 1^3S_1\gamma$	623.798	0.1638	0.488	0.139	0.096	0.160	0.093	0.660

of mass with radial quantum number clearly shows that, the confinement potential takes care of the non perturbative aspect of interaction and the residual confined one gluon exchange effects employed in this study are treated perturbatively. Figures 4 and 5 show the effect of running coupling constant α_s on masses. It is clear from figures 4 and 5 that we need to enhance the value of α_s when the diagonalization is carried out in a configuration space smaller than $n_{max} = 5$. Comparison of our results with other quark models has been made in table 8. It is clear from the table that mass calculated in this work agrees with the experimental mass value as compared to other theoretical models. We have made predictions for the transition rates of the $M1$ radiative decays. The difference of quark flavours forbids B_c meson from annihilation into gluons. As a result the excited B_c meson states lying below the BD production threshold (i.e. with $M < M_D + M_B = 7.1431 \pm 0.0021$ GeV) undergo radiative transition to ground state which then decays weakly. Radiative decays are the dominant decay modes of the B_c excited states having widths of about a fraction of MeV. Therefore, it is very important to determine the masses and the radiative decay widths of B_c meson accurately in order to understand the B_c spectrum and distinguishing exotic states. We have presented the radiative decay rates of $c\bar{b}$ states calculated using spectroscopic parameters obtained from RQM. The calculated transition rates reasonably agree with the other theoretical model predictions as listed in 9. It is clearly seen in this calculation that the relativistic effects play an important role in determining the radiative transition rates, since the hindered transition rates are suppressed due to the wave function orthogonality in the NRQM formalism. The inclusion of these relativistic effects enhance the hindered transition rates and reduce the allowed transition rates. It is evident from the table that the hindered transition rates are larger than the allowed transition rates by an order of magnitude. Experimental results for the masses of excited states and radiative decays of B_c meson are needed to clarify these predictions. Experimental results will give us more insight into B_c spectrum and will help us to clarify the hyperfine splitting of different models.

Acknowledgements

One of the authors (APM) is grateful to BRNS, DAE, India for granting the project and JRF (37(3)/14/21/2014BRNS).

References

References

- [1] E. J. Eichten, C. Quigg, Mesons with beauty and charm: Spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 5845–5856. [arXiv:hep-ph/9402210](#).
- [2] F. Abe, et al., Observation of B_c mesons in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8\text{TeV}$, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 112004. [arXiv:hep-ex/9804014](#).
- [3] A. Abulencia, et al., Evidence for the exclusive decay $B_c^\pm \rightarrow j/\psi\pi^\pm$ and measurement of the mass of the $B_c^\pm$ meson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006) 082002. [arXiv:hep-ex/0505076](#).
- [4] A. Abulencia, et al., Measurement of the B_c^+ meson lifetime using the decay mode $B_c^+ \rightarrow j/\psi e^+ \nu_e$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 012002. [arXiv:hep-ex/0603027](#).
- [5] T. Aaltonen, et al., Observation of the decay $B_c^\pm \rightarrow j/\psi\pi^\pm$ and measurement of the $B_c^\pm$ mass, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 182002. [arXiv:0712.1506](#).
- [6] V. M. Abazov, et al., Observation of the B_c meson in the exclusive decay $B_c \rightarrow j/\psi\pi$, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 012001. [arXiv:0802.4258](#).

- [7] V. M. Abazov, et al., Measurement of the lifetime of the $B_c^\pm$ meson in the semileptonic decay channel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 092001. [arXiv:0805.2614](#).
- [8] A. De Rújula, H. Georgi, S. L. Glashow, Hadron masses in a gauge theory, Phys. Rev. D 12 (1975) 147–162. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.12.147](#).
- 255 [9] R. Bhaduri, L. Cohler, Y. Nogami, A unified potential for mesons and baryons, Il Nuovo Cimento A (1965-1970) 65 (3) (1981) 376–390. [doi:10.1007/BF02827441](#).
- [10] A. Chodos, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, C. B. Thorn, V. F. Weisskopf, New extended model of hadrons, Phys. Rev. D 9 (1974) 3471–3495. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.9.3471](#).
- [11] A. Chodos, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, C. B. Thorn, Baryon structure in the bag theory, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 2599–2604. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.10.2599](#).
- 260 [12] A. Thomas, Chiral symmetry and the bag model: A new starting point for nuclear physics, in: J. Negele, E. Vogt (Eds.), Advances in Nuclear Physics, Springer US, 1984, pp. 1–137. [doi:10.1007/978-1-4613-9892-9_1](#).
- [13] G. Brown, M. Rho, The little bag, Phys. Lett. B 82 (2) (1979) 177 – 180. [doi:10.1016/0370-2693\(79\)90729-9](#).
- 265 [14] G. Brown, M. Rho, V. Vento, Little bag dynamics, Phys. Lett. B 84 (4) (1979) 383 – 388. [doi:10.1016/0370-2693\(79\)91221-8](#).
- [15] A. W. Thomas, S. Théberge, G. A. Miller, Cloudy bag model of the nucleon, Phys. Rev. D 24 (1981) 216–229. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.24.216](#).
- 270 [16] S. Khadkikar, S. Gupta, Magnetic moments of light baryons in harmonic model, Phys. Lett. B 124 (6) (1983) 523 – 526. [doi:10.1016/0370-2693\(83\)91566-6](#).
- [17] N. Barik, B. K. Dash, Mass spectrum of low-lying baryons in the ground state in a relativistic potential model of independent quarks with chiral symmetry, Phys. Rev. D 33 (1986) 1925–1933. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.33.1925](#).
- 275 [18] N. Barik, S. N. Jena, D. P. Rath, Nucleon form factors and static properties of baryons in a quark model, Phys. Rev. D 41 (1990) 1568–1577. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.41.1568](#).
- [19] P. Hasenfratz, J. Kuti, The quark bag model, Phys. Rep. 40 (2) (1978) 75 – 179. [doi:10.1016/0370-1573\(78\)90076-5](#).
- [20] W. A. Bardeen, M. S. Chanowitz, S. D. Drell, M. Weinstein, T. M. Yan, Heavy quarks and strong binding: A field theory of hadron structure, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 1094–1136. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.11.1094](#).
- 280 [21] P. Ferreira, N. Zagury, Gluon exchange corrections for baryons in a model with confining potentials, Lettere al Nuovo Cimento (1971-1985) 20 (14) (1977) 511–515. [doi:10.1007/BF02789672](#).
- [22] P. Ferreira, J. Helayel, N. Zagury, A linear-potential model for quark confinement, Il Nuovo Cimento A (1965-1970) 55 (2) (1980) 215–226. [doi:10.1007/BF02899966](#).
- 285 [23] M. Bander, D. Silverman, B. Klima, U. Maor, Relativistic treatment of quark-antiquark spectra in quantum chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D 29 (1984) 2038–2050. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.29.2038](#).
- [24] S. Godfrey, N. Isgur, Mesons in a relativized quark model with chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D 32 (1985) 189–231. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.32.189](#).
- 290 [25] S. Capstick, N. Isgur, Baryons in a relativized quark model with chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D 34 (1986) 2809–2835. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.34.2809](#).
- [26] P. Vinodkumar, K. Vijayakumar, S. Khadkikar, Effect of the confined gluons in quark-quark interaction, Pramana 39 (1) (1992) 47–70. [doi:10.1007/BF02853034](#).
- [27] S. Khadkikar, K. Vijayakumar, N-n scattering with exchange of confined gluons, Phys. Lett. B 254 (3) (1991) 320 – 324. [doi:10.1016/0370-2693\(91\)91162-0](#).
- 295 [28] K. Kumar, S. Khadkikar, $\{NN\}$ interaction in a relativistic harmonic model with confined gluons, Nucl. Phys. A 556 (3) (1993) 396 – 408. [doi:10.1016/0375-9474\(93\)90368-8](#).
- [29] K. Vijaya Kumar, A. Rath, S. Khadkikar, Meson spectroscopy with confined one gluon exchange potential, Pramana 48 (5) (1997) 997–1004. [doi:10.1007/BF02847459](#).
- 300 [30] K. Vijaya Kumar, B. Hanumaiah, S. Pepin, Meson spectrum in a relativistic harmonic model with instanton-induced interaction, Eur.Phys. J A 19 (2) (2004) 247–250. [doi:10.1140/epja/i2002-10287-1](#).
- [31] K. B. V. Kumar, et al., P wave meson spectrum in a relativistic model with instanton induced interaction, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 22 (2009) 4209. [arXiv:0811.4308](#).
- 305 [32] S. Gartenhaus, C. Schwartz, Center-of-mass motion in many-particle systems, Phys. Rev. 108 (1957) 482–490. [doi:10.1103/PhysRev.108.482](#).
- [33] N. Brambilla, et al., Heavy quarkonium physics, CERN Yellow Report, CERN-2005-005 [arXiv:hep-ph/0412158](#).
- [34] W. Caswell, G. Lepage, Effective lagrangians for bound state problems in qed, qcd, and other field

- theories, Phys. Lett. B 167 (4) (1986) 437 – 442. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(86)91297-9.
- [35] G. T. Bodwin, E. Braaten, G. P. Lepage, Rigorous qcd analysis of inclusive annihilation and production of heavy quarkonium, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 1125–1171. arXiv:hep-ph/9407339.
- [36] N. Brambilla, A. Pineda, J. Soto, A. Vairo, The heavy quarkonium spectrum at order $m\alpha_s^5 \ln \alpha_s$, Phys. Lett. B 470 (14) (1999) 215 – 222. arXiv:hep-ph/9910238.
- [37] B. A. Thacker, G. P. Lepage, Heavy-quark bound states in lattice qcd, Phys. Rev. D 43 (1991) 196–208. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.43.196.
- [38] A. Martin, A fit of upsilon and charmonium spectra, Phys. Lett. B 93 (3) (1980) 338 – 342. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(80)90527-4.
- [39] E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K. D. Lane, T. M. Yan, Charmonium: The model, Phys. Rev. D 17 (1978) 3090–3117. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.17.3090.
- [40] W. Buchmüller, S. H. H. Tye, Quarkonia and quantum chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D 24 (1981) 132–156. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.24.132.
- [41] C. Quigg, J. L. Rosner, Quarkonium level spacings, Phys. Lett. B 71 (1) (1977) 153 – 157. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(77)90765-1.
- [42] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, V. O. Galkin, Properties of heavy quarkonia and B_c mesons in the relativistic quark model, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 014027. arXiv:hep-ph/0210381v2.
- [43] B. V. Nomerov, A method of extrapolation of perturbations, MNRAS 84 (8) (1924) 592–602. doi:10.1093/mnras/84.8.592.
- [44] B. Numerov, Note on the numerical integration of $d^2x/dt^2 = f(x, t)$, Astronomische Nachrichten 230 (19) (1927) 359–364. doi:10.1002/asna.19272301903.
- [45] M. Pillai, J. Goglio, T. G. Walker, Matrix numerov method for solving schrödingers equation, American Journal of Physics 80 (11) (2012) 1017–1019. doi:10.1119/1.4748813.
- [46] K. Anikeev, et al., B physics at the tevatron: Run ii and beyond arXiv:hep-ph/0201071.
- [47] J. Beringer, et al., Review of particle physics, Phys. Rev. D 86 (1) (2012) 1504. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.010001.
- [48] H. Shanahan, P. Boyle, C. Davies, H. Newton, A non-perturbative calculation of the mass of the bc , Phys. Lett. B 453 (34) (1999) 289 – 294. arXiv:hep-lat/9902025.
- [49] A. Abd El-Hady, J. R. Spence, J. P. Vary, Radiative decays of B_c mesons in a bethe-salpeter model, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 034006. arXiv:hep-ph/0603139v1.
- [50] S. S. Gershtein, V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded, A. V. Tkabladze, Physics of bc -mesons, Physics-Uspekhi 38 (1) (1995) 1. arXiv:hep-ph/9504319.
- [51] L. P. Fulcher, Phenomenological predictions of the properties of the B_c system, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 074006. arXiv:hep-ph/9806444.